

FBI

TRANSMIT VIA:

☐ Teletype
☐ Facsimile
☒ AIRTEL

PRECEDENCE:

☐ Immediate
☐ Priority
☐ Routine

CLASSIFICATION:

☐ TOP SECRET
☐ SECRET
☐ CONFIDENTIAL
☐ UNCLAS E F T O
☐ UNCLAS

Date 2/3/87

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI
 (ATTN: ELSUR INDEX)

FROM: SAC, CHICAGO (195A-110) (P) (SQUAD #6A) (SQUAD #14)

SUBJECT: FRANK JOHN SCHWEIHS, aka
 Frederick John Wols;
 ET AL;
 HOBBS ACT; RICO (OO: CHICAGO)

Reference Bureau airtel to Chicago dated 11/14/86.

On 11/14/86, authorization was received from:

☒ FBIHQ Criminal Investigative Division (FBIHQ-CID)
☐ Department of Justice (DOJ)

for the consensual use of a nontelephone monitoring device in captioned matter.

Prior emergency authorization obtained regarding above: ☐ Yes ☒ No

The following information relates to the use of the equipment authorized that date:

☒ Its use aided in directing course of investigation.
☐ Its use obtained direct evidence.
☐ It was used, but no information of value was obtained.
☒ Its use furnished lead material.
☒ Its use gave protection to the Agent or other person equipped with device.
 (More than one of above may apply.)
☐ It was not used.

Complete and submit within 30 days of expiration of each and every period of authorization granted for nontelephone consensual monitoring by either DOJ or FBIHQ-CID (whether an initial or a subsequent authorization), and, if applicable, for each extension or renewal thereof.

Transmit to FBIHQ in a sealed brown envelope labeled "Director, FBI, ELSUR Index, FBIHQ."

2 - Bureau
 1 - 195A-110
 1 - 66-5195 SUB JB

(Field Office Investigative File)
 (Field Office Control File)

WFZ/dlt

Approved: (4)

Transmitted

(Number)

(Time)

Per

MAR 23 1987

117 JUL 22 1987

FORMS.TEXT HAS 1 DOCUMENT

b6
b7c

INBOX.41 (#8551)

RECEIVED
TELETYPE
UNIT

TEXT:

CGO 316 0024 0137

13 NOV 86 04 56

PP HQ

DE CG

P 12 NOV 0137Z 86

FM CHICAGO 195A-110) (P) (SQUAD 14)

TO DIRECTOR PRIORITY

BT

ATTENTION: SSA

OC SECTION, CID, FBIHQ

FRANK JOHN SCHWEIHS, AKA FREDERICK JOHN WOLS; ET AL;

HOOPS ACT; RICO; OO: CHICAGO.

HALR

RE BUREAU AIRTEL, SEPTEMBER 29, 1986 AND CHICAGO
TELETYPE SEPTEMBER 25, 1986.

RENEWAL OF AUTHORITY IS REQUESTED TO UTILIZE AN
ELECTRONIC DEVICE TO MONITOR AND/OR RECORD PRIVATE
CONVERSATIONS BETWEEN A COOPERATING SOURCE AND FRANK
JOHN SCHWEIHS, [REDACTED] AND OTHERS YET TO BE
IDENTIFIED IN CONNECTION WITH A HOBBS ACT-LABOR RELATED
(LCN) AND RICO MATTER PRESENTLY UNDER INVESTIGATION BY
THE CHICAGO DIVISION.

AS THE BUREAU HAS BEEN MADE PREVIOUSLY AWARE,
COOPERATING SOURCE HAD MET WITH FRANK SCHWEIHS ON TWO

Exec. AD-Adm.	
Exec. AD-Inv.	
Exec. AD-LES	
Asst. Dir.:	
Adm. Serv.	
Crim. Inv.	
Ident.	
Inspection	
Intell.	
Laboratory	
Legal Coun.	
Off. of Cong. & Public Affs.	
Rec. Mgmt.	
Tech. Serv.	
Training	
Telephone Rm.	
Director's Sec'y	

2 JAN 5 1987

60 AUG 18 1987

ELSR INDEX

PAGE TWO

CG 195A-110

UNCLAS

OCCASIONS PRIOR TO SEPTEMBER 17, 1986. ACCORDING TO THE COOPERATING SOURCE, SCHWEIHS STATED THAT HE WAS REPRESENTING THE CHICAGO LCN AND TOLD SOURCE THAT THEY PLAN TO TAKE OVER LOCAL 236 OF THE SERVICE EMPLOYEE'S UNION, AFL-CIO, 550 W. JACKSON BLVD., CHICAGO, ILLINOIS, BY REPLACING FOUR OF THE LOCALS EXECUTIVE BOARD MEMBERS WITH THEIR (LCN) OWN MEN.

FOLLOWING THESE CONVERSATIONS WITH SCHWEIHS, COOPERATING SOURCE WAS TO MEET SCHWEIHS AGAIN TO FURTHER DISCUSS THIS MATTER. [REDACTED] A MUTUAL ACQUAINTANCE TO BOTH COOPERATING SOURCE AND SCHWEIHS, WAS TO MAKE THE ARRANGEMENTS FOR THIS UPCOMING MEETING.

b6
b7C

[REDACTED] HAS ALSO HAS HAD HIS BUSINESS TAKEN OVER BY SCHWEIHS VIA EXTORTIONATE MEANS.

b6
b7C
b7D

IT IS NOTED HOWEVER, THAT COOPERATING SOURCE HAS BEEN HOSPITALIZED SINCE THE LATTER PART OF SEPTEMBER, 1986 WHICH HAS LIKELY PRECLUDED HIM FROM MEETING WITH SCHWEIHS. IT IS FURTHER NOTED THAT COOPERATING SOURCE HAS HAD TWO CONSENSUALLY RECORDED CONVERSATIONS (TELEPHONIC AND BODY RECORDING) WITH [REDACTED] WHEREIN [REDACTED] AFFIRMED HIS POSITION AS CONDUIT BETWEEN SCHWEIHS AND COOPERATING SOURCE IN THIS MATTER. [REDACTED] FURTHER ADMITTED SCHWEIHS TAKING OVER HIS BUSINESS AND OF SCHWEIHS' TIES TO THE CHICAGO LCN. [REDACTED] FURTHER ADVISED COOPERATING SOURCE THAT SCHWEIHS IS STILL IN FLORIDA AND HAS BEEN THERE SINCE SEPTEMBER, 1986. [REDACTED] OF THE OPINION THAT SCHWEIHS WILL CONTACT COOPERATING SOURCE UPON RETURN TO THE CHICAGO AREA.

b6
b7C

COOPERATING SOURCE IS STILL IN THE PROCESS OF RECUPERATING FROM HIS RECENT HOSPITALIZATION AND WILL BE AWAY FROM THE CHICAGO AREA UNTIL NOVEMBER 1986. SOON AFTER, IT IS ANTICIPATED THAT COOPERATING SOURCE WILL BE CONTACTED BY [REDACTED] FOR PURPOSES OF MEETING WITH SCHWEIHS. COOPERATING SOURCE REITERATED VOLUNTARY CONSENT TO USE A CONCEALED BODY RECORDER AND/OR TRANSMITTER

PAGE FOUR

CG 195A-110

UNCLAS

AND TO TESTIFY FULLY IN FEDERAL COURT UPON COMPLETION
OF THE INVESTIGATION SHOULD THAT BE REQUIRED.

CHICAGO DIVISION AGAIN CONTEMPLATES SCENARIO
WHEREIN COOPERATING SOURCE, WHILE UNDER PHYSICAL
SURVEILLANCE AND EQUIPPED WITH CONCEALED OF BODY RECORDER
AND/OR TRANSMITTER, WILL PERSONALLY MEET WITH OR ENGAGE
IN A CONSENSUALLY RECORDED TELEPHONE CALL WITH [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] FOR PURPOSES OF ARRANGING A MEETING WITH SCHWEIHS.

THEREAFTER, COOPERATING SOURCE, UNDER PHYSICAL SURVEILLANCE
AND CONTROLLED CONDITIONS, WILL WEAR A CONCEALED BODY
RECORDER AND/OR TRANSMITTER IN HIS MEETING WITH SCHWEIHS
AND POSSIBLY OTHERS YET TO BE IDENTIFIED. COOPERATING
SOURCE WILL EXECUTE FBI FORMS 472 AND 473 PRIOR TO
CONVEACTIONS WITH [REDACTED] SCHWEIHS AND OTHERS YET
TO BE IDENTIFIED.

b6
b7C

IT IS FURTHER NOTED THAT SCHWEIHS IS CONSIDERED TO
BE THE PREMIER HITMAN AND ENFORCER FOR THE JOSEPH
FERRIOLA FACTION OF THE CHICAGO LCN AND HAS LONG BEEN
A SUBJECT OF SEVERAL BUREAU INVESTIGATIONS.

PAGE FIVE

CG 195A-110

UNCLAS

U.S. ATTORNEY'S OPINION:

THE FACTS OF THIS CASE WERE FURTHER DISCUSSED
WITH GARY SHAPIRO, CHIEF, ORGANIZED CRIME AND RACKETEERING
STRIKE FORCE, CHICAGO, ILLINOIS ON NOVEMBER 12, 1986
AND HE CONCURRED WITH THE USE OF THE BODY RECORDER
AND/OR TRANSMITTER DURING CONTROLLED MEETINGS BETWEEN
THE COOPERATING SOURCE AND OTHER SUBJECTS IN THIS MATTER
AND EXPRESS THE OPINION THAT THERE WERE NO PROBLEMS
OF ENTRAPMENT.

b6
b7C
b7D

ADMINISTRATIVE DATA:



BT

Device will only be activated
when consenting party is
present.

AIRTEL

b6
b7c

11/14/86.

Director, FBI

SAC, Chicago (195A-110) (Squad 14)

FRANK JOHN SCHWEIHS,
AKA FREDERICK JOHN WOLS;
ET AL;
HOBBS ACT; RICO;
OO: CHICAGO

HALR

Re Chicago teletype, dated 11/12/86.

Pursuant to the provisions of the Attorney General's Memorandum of November 7, 1983, to the Heads and Inspectors General of Executive Departments and Agencies, entitled "Procedures for Lawful, Warrantless Interceptions of Verbal Communications," authorization was granted on 11/14/86 for the use of transmitting and/or recording devices, as described in referenced communication. Authorization is for a period of 60 days beginning on 11/18/86.

You are to submit an FD-621 within 30 days of the expiration of this authorization furnishing information regarding use of this equipment in accordance with MIOG, Part II, Section 10-10.3(9).

In the event a renewal of this authority is deemed warranted, submit your request with full justification at least seven days prior to the expiration of the existing authority, in compliance with MIOG, Part II, Section 10-10.3(9).

In addition, you should ensure that all persons reasonably identified as having been monitored are included in the field office and FBIHQ ELSUR indices (MIOG, Part II, Section 10-10.5). Strict administrative controls must be established to ensure these requirements are met.

As you know, this equipment is to be used only when a

consenting party is present. Bureau equipment should be afforded appropriate security. You should keep the Bureau advised of pertinent developments.

1 - Elzur Coordinator - Chicago

MAILED 9
NOV 14 1986
FBI

Exec AD Adm. _____
Exec AD Inv. _____
Exec AD LES _____
Asst. Dir.:
Adm. Servs. _____
Crim. Inv. _____
Ident. _____
Insp. _____
Intell. _____
Lab. _____
Legal Coun. _____
Off. Cong. & Public Affs. _____
Rec. Mgnt. _____
Tech. Servs. _____
Training _____
Telephone Rm. _____
Director's Sec'y _____

JDT: (6)

AUG 18 1987

2 JAN 5 1987

FBI

NON-TELEPHONIC CONSENSUAL MONITORING AND/OR CCTV

TO: Chief Organized Crime Section Date 11-13-86
 FROM: SSA [Redacted] Unit LABOR
 SUBJECT: Frank John Schweins Racketeering
et al: RICO Hobbs Act

b6
b7C

Attached communication from CG Field Office, dated 11-12-86 requests Routine, Renewal, Emergency, Emergency Renewal (circle one) authorization for the use of electronic device to monitor and/or record private conversations. Authorization is for 30 days or 60 days (circle one), beginning on 11-18-86. Previous authority granted on 9-17-86 and expires/expired on 11-17-86. (Fill in blanks, if applicable.)

Emergency authority granted by _____ on _____ to _____.

- | | | |
|--|----------|-----------|
| 1. The consenting party has: | YES | NO |
| a. agreed to testify | <u>/</u> | <u> </u> |
| b. executed the consent form | <u>/</u> | <u> </u> |
| 2. Recording/transmitting device will only be activated when the consenting party is present | <u>/</u> | <u> </u> |
| 3. Government attorney (in Judicial District where interception(s) will take place or who is designated for the investigation) sees no entrapment and approves of the use of this technique as being appropriate | <u>/</u> | <u> </u> |

Subject(s) of this case are alleged to be involved in: RICO

 (nature of violation)

The AG Memorandum of 11/7/83 on consensual monitoring has been reviewed and this request does/does not (circle one) require written authorization from the Department of Justice. (See attached checklist)

Authorization to include the use of CCTV. (Yes/No) If yes, AG Order 985-82 has been reviewed and use of CCTV does/does not (circle one) require DOJ authority. (See attached)

APPLICABLE ACTION MEMORANDUM FORMAT # IF DOJ AUTHORITY REQUIRED:
 APPLICABLE CONFIRMING AIRTEL FORMAT # IF DOJ AUTHORITY NOT REQUIRED: 1/2

REVIEW/APPROVAL

- Unit Chief [Redacted]
 - **LCD [Redacted]
 - Approved: _____, Section Chief
- *Note that 60 day authority is appropriate only in long-term investigations such as UCOS.
- ** Review and concurrence by LCD is necessary if any of the seven instances requiring written DOJ approval exist or if use of CCTV requires written DOJ approval.

THIS REQUEST DOES NOT REQUIRE WRITTEN AUTHORIZATION FROM THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE BECAUSE (check appropriately):

it does not relate to an investigation of a member of Congress, a Federal Judge, a member of the Executive Branch at Executive Level IV or above, or a person who has served in such capacity within the previous two years;

it does not relate to an investigation of any public official and the offense investigated is not one involving bribery, conflict of interest, or extortion relating to the performance of his or her official duties;

it does not relate to an investigation of a Federal law enforcement officer;

the consenting or nonconsenting person is not a member of the diplomatic corps of a foreign country;

the consenting or nonconsenting person is not or has not been a member of the Witness Security Program and that fact is known to the agency involved or its officers;

the consenting or nonconsenting person is not in the custody of the Bureau of Prisons or the United States Marshals Service;

the Attorney General, Deputy Attorney General, Associate Attorney General, Assistant Attorney General for the Criminal Division, or the United States Attorney in the district where an investigation is being conducted has not requested the investigating agency to obtain prior written consent from DOJ for making a consensual interception in a specific investigation.

THIS REQUEST FOR USE OF CCTV DOES REQUIRE DOJ APPROVAL BECAUSE (check appropriately):

Area to be Viewed - Request includes use of CCTV to view the interior of private premises or other area where a reasonable expectation of privacy otherwise exists, although a participant in the activity to be viewed has consented.

Area to be Viewed - Request includes use of CCTV to view the interior of private premises or other area where a reasonable expectation of privacy exists, but a participant in the activity to be viewed has not consented and, therefore, requires DOJ authority and a court order.

Camera Placement - Request includes placement of CCTV to view the interior of private premises or other area where a reasonable expectation of privacy exists, but a participant in the activity to be viewed has not consented, and, therefore, requires DOJ authority and a court order.

FORMS.TEXT HAS 1 DOCUMENT

INBOX.3 (#11778)

TEXT:

CGO 0007 268 1724

RR HQ

DE CG

R 25 1724Z SEP 86

FM CHICAGO (195A-NEW) (P) (SQ 9)

TO DIRECTOR ROUTINE

BT

UNCLAS E F T O

ATTENTION: SSA [REDACTED] OC SECTION, CID, FBIHQ.

FRANK JOHN SCHWEIHS, AKA FREDERICK JOHN WOLFF, GINO SCHWEIHS,

FRANCIS JOHN SCHWEIHS, "THE NUT", "THE GERMAN"; [REDACTED]

AKA [REDACTED] SERVICE EMPLOYEES UNION, LOCAL 236, AFL-CIO,

550 WEST JACKSON BOULEVARD, CHICAGO, ILLINOIS, - VICTIM; HOBBS

ACT-LABOR RELATED (LCN); RICO (A); OO: CHICAGO.

RE TELCALL FROM SSRA [REDACTED] CHICAGO, TO SSA

[REDACTED] OC SECTION, CID, FBIHQ, SEPTEMBER 17, 1986.

PURPOSE:

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE AUTHORITY IS NOT REQUIRED.

AUTHORITY IS REQUESTED TO UTILIZE AN ELECTRONIC DEVICE
TO MONITOR AND/OR RECORD PRIVATE CONVERSATIONS BETWEEN A
COOPERATING SOURCE AND SUBJECTS FRANK JOHN SCHWEIHS AND

RECEIVED
TELETYPE UNIT

23 SEP 86 18 09

FEDERAL BUREAU
OF INVESTIGATION

b6
b7C

Exec. AD-Adm.	
Exec. AD-Inv.	
Exec. AD-LES	
Asst. Dir.:	
Adm. Servs.	
Crim. Inv.	
Ident.	
Inspection	
Intell.	
Laboratory	
Legal Coun.	
Off. of Cong. & Public Affs.	
Rec. Mgnt.	
Tech. Servs.	
Training	
Telephone Rm.	
Director's Sec'y	

2 NOV 7 1986

195-547-4

INDEX

PAGE TWO

CG 195A-NEW

UNCLAS E F T O

[REDACTED] ET AL, IN CONNECTION WITH A HOBBS ACT-LABOR
RELATED (LCN) AND RICO MATTER PRESENTLY UNDER INVESTIGATION
AT CHICAGO.

b6
b7C
b7D

DETAILS:

FOR INFORMATION OF THE BUREAU, INFORMATION DEVELOPED
THROUGH A COOPERATING SOURCE CURRENTLY BEING OPERATED BY SAS

[REDACTED] THAT FRANK JOHN

SCHWEIHS, FBI NUMBER 345162A IS ATTEMPTING TO CONDUCE EXTORTION
OF UNION OFFICIALS OF THE SERVICE EMPLOYEES UNION, LOCAL 236,
AFL-CIO LOCATED AT 550 WEST JACKSON BOULEVARD, SUITE 308,
CHICAGO, ILLINOIS, TO GAIN CONTROL OF THIS UNION.

IT SHOULD BE NOTED, SERVICE EMPLOYEES UNION, LOCAL 236,
CONTROLS ALL OF THE VENDORS AND CONSESSIONAIRS OPERATING
AT THE CHICAGO WHITE SOX PARK, WRIGLEY FIELD, SOLGIERS' FIELD,
CHICAGO STADIUM, AND SEVERAL OF THE LEGITIMATE DOWN TOWN
CHICAGO AREA THEATRES, INCLUDING PARKING LOT FACILITIES.

COOPERATING SOURCE ADVISED THAT ON [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] ACCOMPANIED BY FRANK JOHN SCHWEIHS,
APPEARED AT THE SOURCE'S RESIDENCE. SCHWEIHS THEN PROCEEDED
TO ADVISE THE SOURCE "WE ARE GOING TO BE YOUR NEW PARTNERS

PAGE THREE

CG 195A-NEW

UNCLAS E F T O

b6
b7C
b7D

IN THE UNION. COMING FROM ME, ITS COMING FROM OTHER PEOPLE".
THEREAFTER, SCHEIHS INFORMED COOPERATING SOURCE THAT HE REPRESENTED THE CHICAGO LCN AND THAT THEY PLANNED TO "TAKE OVER" LOCAL 236 REPLACING FOUR OF THE EXECUTIVE BOARD OFFICERS WITH "OUR OWN MEN". ADDITIONALLY, DURING THIS FIRST CONFRONTATION, SCHWEIHS INFORMED COOPERATING SOURCE THAT IF HE DID NOT GO ALONG WITH [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]
FOLLOWING THIS INITIAL CONFRONTATION, SOURCE RELATED THAT MEETING WAS ALSO HELD ON [REDACTED], AT A [REDACTED] THE UNION OFFICES, AND DURING THIS MEETING, COOPERATING SOURCE WAS INFORMED BY SCHWEIHS THAT HE WAS TO "GET RID OF" [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] SCHWEIHS THREATENED TO "GRAB THEM ON THE STREET AND BEAT THEM UP" IN ORDER TO GET THEM TO RESIGN SO THEY COULD BE REPLACED WITH PERSONS HAND PICKED BY SCHWEIHS. ADDITIONALLY, SCHWEIHS INFORMED COOPERATING SOURCE [REDACTED]

COOPERATING SOURCE STATED THAT SCHWEIHS CURRENTLY [REDACTED]

PAGE FOUR

CG 195A-NEW

UNCLAS E F T O

SOURCE RELATED THAT [REDACTED] PREVIOUSLY OPERATED

[REDACTED] CHICAGO, ILLINOIS,

AND IN APPROXIMATELY [REDACTED] WAS ALSO "MUSCLED"

BY SCHWEIHS, AND AS A RESULT, SIGNED OVER HIS [REDACTED]

BUSINESS TO SCHWEIHS LOSING OVERY \$100,000 IN THE PROCESS.

COOPERATING SOURCE STATED THAT AS A RESULT, [REDACTED] IS
TERRIFIED OF SCHWEIHS [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] WAS THEREFORE UTILIZED

BY SCHWEIHS TO FACILITATE THE EXTORTION TAKE OVER OF THE
UNION.

COOPERATING SOURCE HAS [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED] FRANK JOHN SCHWEIHS IN ORDER TO
[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] SCHWEIHS.

ADDITIONALLY, COOPERATING SOURCE IS WILLING TO WEAR
A CONCEALED BODY RECORDER AND/OR TRANSMITTER AND TO TESTIFY
FULLY IN FEDERAL COURT ON COMPLETION OF THE INVESTIGATION,
SHOULD THAT BE REQUIRED.

CHICAGO DIVISION CONTEMPLATES SCENARIO WHEREIN COOPERATING

b6
b7C
b7D

PAGE FIVE

CG 195A-NEW

UNCLAS E F T O

SOURCE WHILE UNDER SURVEILLANCE AND EQUIPPED WITH A CONCEALED BODY RECORDER AND/OR TRANSMITTER WILL CONDUCT A MEETING WITH [REDACTED] IN ORDER TO OBTAIN DETAILED ADMISSION FROM [REDACTED] REGARDING STRONG ARM EXTORTIONATE TAKE OVER OF [REDACTED] IN 1984, BY SCHWEIHS AND TO EXPLORE [REDACTED]

IN THE ATTEMPTED TAKE OVER OF THE UNION BY SCHWEIHS.

THEREAFTER, CHICAGO DIVISION CONTEMPLATES CONTROLLED MEETING BETWEEN COOPERATING SOURCE AND SUBJECT FRANK JOHN SCHWEIHS WITH SUBJECT WEARING A CONCEALED BODY RECORDER AND/OR TRANSMITTER IN ORDER TO OBTAIN ELECTRONICALLY RECORDED EVIDENCE OF ONGOING ATTEMPTED EXTORTIONATE TAKE OVER OF SERVICE EMPLOYEES UNION, LOCAL 236, BY SCHWEIHS ON BEHALF OF THE CHICAGO LCN.

IT SHOULD BE NOTED, SCHWEIHS IS CONSIDERED THE PREMIER "HIT MAN" AND "ENFORCER" FOR THE JOSEPH FERRIOLA FACTION OF THE CHICAGO LCN AND HAS LONG BEEN A SUBJECT OF BUREAU INVESTIGATIONS INTO HIS CRIMINAL ACTIVITIES WHICH INCLUDE OPERATING A HIGHLY SOPHISTICATED AND EFFECTIVE COMMERCIAL ARMED ROBBERY GROUP BASED IN MIAMI, FLORIDA, AS WELL AS ENGAGING IN A NUMBER

b6
b7C
b7D

PAGE SIX

CT 195A-NEW,

UNCALS E F T O

OF CHICAGO LCN GANGLAND MURDERS OVER THE YEARS. SCHWEIHS IS
A FEARED KILLER ANDNFORCER CONSIDERED BY MANY OF HIS ASSOCI-
ATES TO BE TOTALLY PSYCHOPATHIC.

UNIT STATES ATTORNEY'S OPINION:

THE FACTS OF THIS CASE WERE DISCUSSED WITH [REDACTED]
CHIEF, U.S. STRIKE FORCE, CHICAGO ON SEPTEMBER 17, 1986
AND HE CONCURRED WITH THE USE OF THE BODY RECORDER AND/OR
TRANSMITTER DURING CONTROLLED MEETINGS BETWEEN THE COOPERATING
SOURCE AND OTHER SUBJECTS IN THIS MATTER AND EXPRESSED THE
OPINION THAT THERE WAS NO ENTRAPMENT PROBLEMS.

b6
b7C
b7D

ADMINISTRATIVE DATA:

BUREAU SHOULD NOTE THAT CHICAGO COOPERATING SOURCE IS
IDENTICAL TO [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] CHICAGO.

BT

AIRTEL

9/29/86

Director, FBI

SAC, Chicago (195A-NEW) (SQ 9)

FRANK JOHN SCHWEINS, aka
Frederick John Wolf;
ET AL;
RICO
OO: CHICAGO

Re Chicago teletype dated 9/25/86.

Pursuant to the provisions of the Attorney General's Memorandum of November 7, 1983, to the Heads and Inspectors General of Executive Departments and Agencies, entitled "Procedures for Lawful, Warrantless Interceptions of Verbal Communications," authorization was granted on 9/17/86 for the use of transmitting and/or recording devices, as described in referenced communication. Authorization is for a period of 60 days beginning on 9/17/86.

Emergency authority for use of these devices, which was granted on 9/17/86, is also confirmed.

You are to submit an FD-621 within 30 days of the expiration of this authorization furnishing information regarding use of this equipment in accordance with MIOG, Part II, Section 18-18.3(9).

In the event a renewal of this authority is deemed warranted, submit your request with full justification at least seven days prior to the expiration of the existing authority, in compliance with MIOG, Part II, Section 18-18.

In addition, you should ensure that all persons reasonably identified as having been monitored are included in the field office and FBIHQ ELSUR indices (MIOG, Part II, Section 18-18.5). Strict administrative controls must be established to ensure these requirements are met.

As you know, this equipment is to be used only when a consenting party is present. Bureau equipment should be afforded appropriate security. You should keep the Bureau advised of pertinent developments.

Chicago ELSUR Coordinator

ELSUR INDEX. RMD

Exec AD Adm. _____
Exec AD Inv. _____
Exec AD LES _____
Asst. Dir.:
Adm. Servs. _____
Crim. Inv. _____
Ident. _____
Insp. _____
Intell. _____
Lab. _____
Legal Coun. _____
Off. Cong. & Public Affs. _____
Rec. Mgmt. _____
Tech. Servs. _____
Training _____
Telephone Rm. _____
Director's Sec'y _____

ONLY FOR ELSUR INDEX

NON-TELEPHONIC CONSENSUAL MONITORING AND/OR CCTV

TO: Chief, Organized Crime Section
FROM: SSA [redacted], Labour Unit
SUBJECT: Frank John Schweitzer
et al, RICO Hobbs Act Rock

Date 9-26-86

Attached communication from CA Field Office, dated 9-25-86, requests Routine, Renewal, Emergency, Emergency Renewal (circle one) authorization for the use of electronic device to monitor and/or record private conversations. Authorization is for 30 days or 60* days (circle one), beginning on 9-17-86. Previous authority granted on _____ and expires/expired on _____. (Fill in blanks, if applicable.)

Emergency authority granted by Section Chief [redacted] on 9-17-86 to SSA [redacted]

- | | | |
|--|----------|------------|
| 1. The consenting party has: | YES | NO |
| a. agreed to testify | <u>/</u> | <u> </u> |
| b. executed the consent form | <u>/</u> | <u> </u> |
| 2. Recording/transmitting device will only be activated when the consenting party is present | <u>/</u> | <u> </u> |
| 3. Government attorney (in Judicial District where interception(s) will take place or who is designated for the investigation) sees no entrapment and approves of the use of this technique as being appropriate | <u>/</u> | <u> </u> |

Subject(s) of this case are alleged to be involved in:

Hobbs Act
(nature of violation)

The AG Memorandum of 11/7/83 on consensual monitoring has been reviewed and this request does/does not (circle one) require written authorization from the Department of Justice. (See attached checklist)

Authorization to include the use of CCTV. (Yes/No) If yes, AG Order 985-82 has been reviewed and use of CCTV does/does not (circle one) require DOJ authority. (See attached)

APPLICABLE ACTION MEMORANDUM FORMAT # IF DOJ AUTHORITY REQUIRED:
APPLICABLE CONFIRMING AIRTEL FORMAT # IF DOJ AUTHORITY NOT REQUIRED: 3/4

REVIEW/APPROVAL

1. Unit Chief [redacted]
 2. **LCD [redacted]
 3. Approved: [redacted], Section Chief
- *Note that 60 day authority is appropriate only in long-term investigations such as UCOS.
- ** Review and concurrence by LCD is necessary if any of the seven instances requiring written DOJ approval exist or if use of CCTV requires written DOJ approval.

1 - Bureau file

1 - Wickham file

THIS REQUEST DOES NOT REQUIRE WRITTEN AUTHORIZATION FROM THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE BECAUSE (check appropriately):

_____ it does not relate to an investigation of a member of Congress, a Federal Judge, a member of the Executive Branch at Executive Level IV or above, or a person who has served in such capacity within the previous two years;

_____ it does not relate to an investigation of any public official and the offense investigated is not one involving bribery, conflict of interest, or extortion relating to the performance of his or her official duties;

_____ it does not relate to an investigation of a Federal law enforcement officer;

_____ the consenting or nonconsenting person is not a member of the diplomatic corps of a foreign country;

_____ the consenting or nonconsenting person is not or has not been a member of the Witness Security Program and that fact is known to the agency involved or its officers;

_____ the consenting or nonconsenting person is not in the custody of the Bureau of Prisons or the United States Marshals Service;

_____ the Attorney General, Deputy Attorney General, Associate Attorney General, Assistant Attorney General for the Criminal Division, or the United States Attorney in the district where an investigation is being conducted has not requested the investigating agency to obtain prior written consent from DOJ for making a consensual interception in a specific investigation.

THIS REQUEST FOR USE OF CCTV DOES REQUIRE DOJ APPROVAL BECAUSE (check appropriately):

_____ Area to be Viewed - Request includes use of CCTV to view the interior of private premises or other area where a reasonable expectation of privacy otherwise exists, although a participant in the activity to be viewed has consented.

_____ Area to be Viewed - Request includes use of CCTV to view the interior of private premises or other area where a reasonable expectation of privacy exists, but a participant in the activity to be viewed has not consented and, therefore, requires DOJ authority and a court order.

_____ Camera Placement - Request includes placement of CCTV to view the interior of private premises or other area where a reasonable expectation of privacy exists, but a participant in the activity to be viewed has not consented, and, therefore, requires DOJ authority and a court order.